

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,961	05/05/2006	Alain Rayher	5284-64PUS	2782	
27799. 7590 COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP 551 FIFTH AVENUE			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			JAMAL, ALEXANDER		
SUITE 1210 NEW YORK.	NY 10176		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/26/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Application No. Applicant(s) 10/559,961 RAYHER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

	ALEXANDER JAMAL	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX 6) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to retained period for reply with in the set or extended period for reply with pixtue, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing-earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 De 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This: 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Example.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement fawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	pted or b) □ objected to by the I rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Active copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicati ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
  4) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)
  - Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: \_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 10/559,961 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

## DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

 Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Independant claim 1 recites a module consisting of an RC circuit. It is not clear exactly what the RC circuit would contain. For the purpose of examination, the examiner assumes the term 'consisting' is changed to 'comprising'.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted or an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1,10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bareis (6724890).

As per claims 1,10-15, Bareis discloses an impedance matcher for an xdsl line that adaptively varies the applied impedance in view of changing connections to the networked line (abstract). The system inherently comprises a jack in order to couple the modem to the network line. Bareis discloses that the device may be implemented in any part of the network, or distributed throughout the network (Col 2 lines 55-65). The device will adapt to the presence or absence of an attached modem (or any other device) and adjust the impedance accordingly. The impedance that is inserted when the modem is absent will be made transparent when the modem is present because the device is continuously adapting the impedance to the current conditions.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
  obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bareis
   (6724890) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sticia et al. (US 20050232300 A1).

As per claim 2-9, Bareis discloses an impedance matching function but does not disclose the specifics of the device.

Sticia discloses an adaptive impedance for a dsl loop comprising various

combinations of variable capacitors (varactors), resistors and inductors (para. 34). It

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application

to use known adaptive components such as various known combinations of R,C and L

circuits, including varactors as a matter of design choice for the purpose of creating the

matching impedance.

The examiner suggests a detailed claim amendment to the independent claims

specifying the complete structure of Fig. 6.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications

and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications

/Alexander Jamal/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Examiner Alexander Jamal

August 26, 2008